

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/668,181                  | 09/24/2003                                 | Caroline Osterhoff   | 35-268              | 5220             |  |
| Millen White                | 7590 03/19/200<br>, Zelano & Branigan, P.0 | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 2200 Clarendo               |  | ULM, JOHN D          |                     |                  |  |
| Suite 1400<br>Arlington, VA | . 22201                                    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                             |  | 1649                 |                     |                  |  |
|                             |  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                             |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                             |  |                      | 03/19/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
|   | 10/668,181      | OSTERHOFF ET AL. |  |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |
|   | John D. Ulm     | 1649             |  |  |

|   | John D. Ulm  | 1649   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must limely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ta Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I)   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE           | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set<br>set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1:<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| AMERICANNETS (3) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett  | sideration and/or search (see NOT<br>v);   | E below);  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Wewly proposed or amended claim(s)would be allinon-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | and/or appellant fail:<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1             | s to provide a<br>l.                     |
| <ol> <li>☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>   |  | •  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |  |  |
|   | /John D. Ulm/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 1649   |  |